OSHUN V1.2 / VERITAS AND METIS

A review binds people and evidence to an exact revision

Dimensions, conflicts, policy and freshness determine whether signoff can count.

01

Dimension

Names criteria, evidence, roles and quorum.

02

Reviewer

Carries trusted identity and conflict posture.

03

Revision

Pins the precise material and evidence being approved.

04

Release

Waits for the sequenced and still-valid decisions.

> An approval should never drift to a later revision simply because its title is unchanged.

V1.2 DEFERRED CUSTOMER ROOM · IMPLEMENTED SYSTEMS AND UNRATIFIED TARGET DESIGN

The workbench design is authored, not ratified

Its inventories expose concrete ownership and launch gaps.

01

Current inventory

Routes, APIs, packages, contracts and persistence

02

Proposed target

Canonical hosts, role matrix and bounded ownership

03

Open decisions

Named ratification, tenancy, standards profiles and rollout gates

> A generated design document cannot approve itself.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

Content review requires six separate decisions

A signoff counts for its own dimension and exact evidence version.

Dimension	Decision
SME correctness	Claims and sources
Pedagogy	Instruction and objective alignment
Accessibility	Usability and complete alternatives
Fairness and culture	Context, representation and treatment
Legal and rights	Permission and permitted use
Publisher and target	The artifact as it will be delivered

> A publisher signs after the other dimensions; one approval cannot stand in for six.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

A review comment keeps the revision it referred to

Re-resolving an anchor produces evidence instead of silently moving the comment.

01 → 02 → 03 → 04

Anchor

Entity, field, text, region, time, option or rubric cell

Record

Exact subject revision and original location

Resolve

Exact, shifted, ambiguous or orphaned

Protect

Apply subject and anchor policy

> A comment discusses an object; it does not grant an approval or change a grade.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

A missing conflict declaration is not a clean declaration

Eligibility is checked at assignment, signoff and quorum counting.

01

Declare

Current, explicit and scoped

02

Classify

Permitted, mitigated or disqualifying

03

Enforce

Apply the result at every decision boundary

> A detected relationship is a signal for a person to assess, not a final finding.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

Every policy setting defines which direction is stricter

Platform, region, institution, program and course form an ordered policy chain.

Setting	Stricter direction	A weakening attempt
Guard boolean	Enabled	Named conflict
Retention window	Shorter	Named conflict
Permitted providers	Smaller set	Named conflict
Required approvers	Larger count	Named conflict

> Rollback appends a new policy version; it does not erase the version that was active.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

Review deadlines follow business time and recorded pauses

Delivery also respects each recipient's actual timezone and quiet hours.

01 → 02 → 03 → 04

Schedule

Working hours and holidays

Pause

Reason and bounded interval

Measure

Remaining work time and workload

Notify

Local quiet hours or ratified urgency

> An urgent exception names its authority and reason; it is not a generic bypass flag.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

A human gate binds identity, freshness and exact evidence

Automation can prepare the record but cannot complete the human actor field.

01 → 02 → 03 → 04

Authenticate

Interactive human context

Bind

Subject revision and evidence digest

Check

Role, freshness, conflicts and prior signatures

Retain

Invalidate stale approval without deleting it

> The gateway's authentication context is part of the trust boundary.

V1.2 · METIS WORKBENCH IMPLEMENTATION · SEPTEMBER 2026

An institution can verify the exported evidence bytes

The manifest distinguishes present, empty and deliberately withheld material.

01 → 02 → 03 → 04

Scope

Select the review and permitted audience

Project

Name each minimization and redaction

Write

Produce the actual files

Verify

Check those bytes against the manifest

> A digest proves correspondence to a manifest, not the truth of every claim inside it.

OSHUN V1.2 / VERITAS AND METIS

Worked revision: some signoffs survive, others must be renewed

Carry-forward is explicit and preserves the original decision’s provenance.

01 → 02 → 03 → 04 → 05

Classify

Identify the material change and affected dimensions.

Invalidate

Remove affected signoffs from current acceptance.

Carry

Record eligible unaffected decisions with their provenance.

Review

Collect the required current-revision evidence and approvals.

Publish

Apply the final publisher gate after the other dimensions.

> A carried decision must explain why it still applies to this revision.

OSHUN V1.2 / VERITAS AND METIS

A review package can be intact while its review remains incomplete

Integrity, identity, timeliness and approval are independent checks.

Situation	Required boundary	Evidence to inspect
Export hashes verify	The supplied files match their manifest	Review completeness and qualified actors
Request claims a fresh human actor	Trusted ingress must establish that identity	Authentication and header sanitization
Business-time deadline is calculated	The scheduling rule produced a target	Staffed queue and actual response
Confidential material is withheld	The omission needs a declared treatment	Audience policy and explicit manifest accounting

> An exported archive should make omissions and limitations visible to its reader.